

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/534,529	PARKER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL PEPITONE	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) MICHAEL PEPITONE. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Thomas Pavelko. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 October 2008

**Time:** 2:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

28-29, 41, 43, and 51

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner amendment to correct Markush language, claim dependency of claim 43, and addition of further limitations of claim 29. Amendment to specification to include a brief description of figure 1.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Mark Eashoo, Ph.D./  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1796

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)